



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FILED

11-14-07

11:43 AM

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement the)
Commission's Procurement Incentive Framework)
And to Examine the Integration of Greenhouse Gas)
Emissions Standards into Procurement Policies)

Rulemaking 06-04-009
(Filed April 13, 2006)

**ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of: Order Instituting)
Informational Proceeding on a)
Greenhouse Gas Emissions Cap)

Docket 07-OIIP-01

**REPLY COMMENTS OF THE MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
ON ALLOWANCE ALLOCATION ISSUES**

Joy A. Warren
Modesto Irrigation District
1231 11th Street
Modesto, CA 95354
Tel: (209) 526-7389
Fax: (209) 526-7383
Email: joyw@mid.org

November 14, 2007

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement the)
Commission's Procurement Incentive Framework)
And to Examine the Integration of Greenhouse Gas)
Emissions Standards into Procurement Policies)

Rulemaking 06-04-009
(Filed April 13, 2006)

**ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of: Order Instituting)
Informational Proceeding on a)
Greenhouse Gas Emissions Cap)

Docket 07-OIIP-01

**REPLY COMMENTS OF THE MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
ON ALLOWANCE ALLOCATION ISSUES**

In accordance with Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") of the State of California, and the Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Requesting Comments and Noticing Workshop on Allowance Allocation Issues issued October 15, 2007 in Rulemaking 06-04-009 ("Ruling"), the Modesto Irrigation District ("Modesto ID") hereby files these Reply Comments ("Reply Comments") on Allowance Allocation Issues. Modesto ID also files these Reply Comments with the California Energy Commission ("CEC") in Docket 07-OIIP-01. In these Reply Comments, the CPUC and CEC will collectively be called the "Joint Agencies."

On October 31, 2007, numerous parties submitted comments responding to Questions posed by the Joint Agencies. There appeared to be general consensus that any market based system that is implemented should be designed to minimize the cost impact to consumers while achieving real emissions reductions. Any such system must achieve this goal in a fair and equitable manner that accounts for early emission reduction activities and load growth while

avoiding the creation of “windfalls” for any market participant. Modesto ID concurs with those comments supporting administrative allocation of emission allowances based at least initially on emission output. Any auctioning of allowances should be phased in gradually after a robust market has developed. Modesto ID also concurs with comments that propose the value derived from emission allowances be used for the benefit of the ratepayers who ultimately bear the costs of emission reductions.

Any Auction of Allowances Must be Phased in Gradually After a Market Develops and Matures.

Several parties submitted comments supporting auctioning of emission allowances, citing price transparency and open access. However, the equitable interests of the electric consumer have to outweigh any interests of those that plan to make a profit on the auction and trading of allowances. If the Joint Agencies determine that some portion of emission allowances should be auctioned, the market must be gradually developed with administratively allocated allowances and allowed to mature before auctions are instituted. Any transition to auction should be gradual, starting with a small portion of the market, to ensure that the emission allowance market is well established and experienced. Such a cautious approach is necessary in order to avoid manipulation, fraud and other market abuses. As Modesto ID and others noted in opening comments, a single identifiable market monitor will be crucial. The establishment of a well monitored auction system will itself provide price transparency (price transparency being different from the market efficiency that may come with trading in a deep, mature market).

Submitted comments also recognized that whether allowances are allocated or auction proceeds are allocated, the value of the emission allowances must be apportioned in a manner that achieves the goals of AB 32. Most parties agree that in some fashion such value should be used to benefit the consumers. Most also agree that this benefit is achieved through funding of

emission reduction programs, including energy efficiency and technological advancements. The most efficient way to ensure that the value generated through emission allowances is returned to the consumers is for the retail providers serving those customers to invest such value in programs designed to achieve emission reductions. In addition to energy efficiency, acceptable programs would include replacement of high carbon resources, research and development of clean energy resources, electrification of emission sources from other sectors, and investment in transmission that improves access to cleaner resources. Thus, proceeds from any auction should in some significant part be apportioned to the retail providers for such targeted use. Because the value would be directed into emission reduction activities such a program would not result in any wealth transfer or windfalls. Any amounts not so apportioned should likewise be used for direct emission reduction activities – albeit on a statewide or more global basis. As was pointed out, however, protections must be provided to guarantee that such amounts are not misappropriated to other uses.

A gradual transition to an auction process, if at all, is further supported by those parties that proposed a two-step approach to apportioning auction proceeds. First, emission allowances (or the value of the allowances) would be allocated to the retail providers for the benefit of the consumers that they serve and only after such allocation would the allowances be auctioned. This two-step approach separates the eventual auction from the point of regulation and opens it to all regulated parties in need of emission allowances. It would also achieve price transparency by establishing the allowance value through the auction process. However, windfalls could be avoided by proper pre-auction allocation of the allowance values.

Allocation Methodology Must Account for Early Reduction Activities, Load Growth and Climate Driven (Hydro) Fluctuations.

Different parties advocate for different allocation methodologies generally dependent upon the carbon emission factors of their resource mix and their anticipated load growth. A few notable exceptions have proposed that an allocation methodology be adopted based initially on historical emissions (or output) transitioning over time to a load (or sales) based allocation. Modesto ID agrees that such transition approach would best accomplish the AB 32 reduction goals. Any allocation methodology must be designed with the clear understanding that the overriding mandate to reduce emissions to regulated levels will ensure that all parties have sufficient impetus to undertake emission reduction activities as quickly and as effectively as possible. As numerous comments point out, however allowance values are allocated there will not be sufficient allowances to cover all emissions.

All parties appear to agree that the methodology adopted for allocating the value of emission allowances must account for load growth and climate fluctuations. Existing forecasts show projected load growth well into the compliance period. Understanding that such forecasts must be adjusted for anticipated electrification activities in other sectors, and for some acceptable margins of error, these growth patterns must be recognized. Utilities more highly impacted by such growth cannot be penalized.

Many parties have also pointed out that loads and emission factors are heavily impacted by differing climates and fluctuating water years. They propose, regardless of the allocation methodology, a multi-year baseline to smooth these fluctuations. Although Modesto ID did not advocate a multi-year baseline in its Opening Comments, it agrees with those parties who have. A multi-year baseline based on verifiable data that existed prior to the implementation of AB 32

will address climate variations and water fluctuations without influencing either the manipulation of or the avoidance of early reduction activities.

Modesto ID strongly disagrees with any allocation methodology that bifurcates the state into regions. This approach is artificial and is based on faulty generalizations. Not all utilities in the northern portion of the state have significant hydroelectric resources and not all utilities in the southern portion of the state have high carbon resources. In fact, some northern utilities are high carbon and some southern utilities have large hydro resources. Moreover, the bifurcated approach fails to recognize that implementing and achieving the goals of AB 32 requires a statewide, if not regional or national, effort. Any dual system, in addition to the administrative costs, will further divide those within the state that must work together to achieve the mandated emission reductions. It serves no purpose to add to the complexity of the already confounding issues before the Joint Agencies by splitting emission allowances between portions of the State and trying to develop multiple allocation systems.

Evaluation Criteria for Emission Allowance Allocation Methodologies Must Include System Reliability and Resource Adequacy.

A poorly designed allowance allocation methodology could impede national, regional and local efforts to bolster grid reliability as mandated in the 2005 Energy Policy Act. In the near-term, allowances must be distributed to all generators that provide necessary system support and ancillary services if the Western Grid is to remain resource adequate. Allocations can be scaled back over time, as Load Serving Entities begin to replace or retrofit higher carbon emitting generators with new technology, however caution should be taken to perform this in a planned and coordinated manner that preserves reliability and resource adequacy. A fundamental principle of the emission allocation system must be to preserve the integrity and reliability of the grid as the transition is made to cleaner generating resources.

The Joint Agencies Should Consider Including Safety Valves as Part of Any Market System for Emission Allowances.

Parties have recommended the inclusion of various “safety valves” such as allowance banking and offset purchases as part of the implementation of AB 32 emission reductions and allowance allocation methodology. Modesto ID agrees that the Joint Agencies should consider the balance such mechanisms, if properly administered, could bring to the developing market.

In Making Recommendations for the Design and Implementation of a Market System for Emission Allowances a Common Language Must be Used.

A common vocabulary and clear definitions will be critical to the successful implementation of any allowance allocation methodology and larger market system. Toward that end, in response to reference in various comments to “price regulated entities” Modesto ID clarifies that POUs as well as IOUs are “price-regulated entities.” As PG&E notes in its Opening Comments, IOUs are regulated by the CPUC and POUs by their governing public bodies. The Joint Agencies must carefully analyze any recommended allocation methodology and market system to ensure that no competitive preference is created by unnecessary or erroneous distinctions between various service providers.

CONCLUSION

Modesto ID generally recommends that in a market based system implemented to meet emission reduction goals under AB 32, emission allowances should be allocated administratively based at least initially on point of regulations’ historic emissions and accounting for forecasted as well as mandated load growth. Auction of allowances should be minimized and delayed until a robust market has matured. Proceeds from any allowance allocation should be used to reduce emissions, including investments in research and development of new non-emitting generation, renewable energy resources, and programs to encourage energy efficiency. Any market system

put in place must be closely monitored by a single, identifiable regulatory body to avoid manipulation, fraud and other abuses.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/

Joy A. Warren
Regulatory Administrator
Modesto Irrigation District
joyw@mid.org

November 14, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Linda Fischer, certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

On November 14, 2007, I served the attached:

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT ON ALLOWANCE ALLOCATION ISSUES

on the service list for R.06-04-009 by serving a copy of each party by electronic mail, or by mailing a properly addressed copy by first-class mail with postage prepaid to each party unable to accept service by electronic mail.

Copies were also sent by first-class mail with postage prepaid to Commissioner Peevey and Administrative Law Judges Charlotte F. TerKeurst and Jonathan Lakritz.

A copy was also sent by first-class mail with postage prepaid to the California Energy Commission, Docket Office, MS-4, Re: Docket No. 07-OIIP-01, 1516 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814-5512.

Copies were also served by email to the CEC docket office and to the Project Manager Karen Griffin.

A copy of the service list is attached hereto.

Executed on November 14, 2007, at Modesto, California.

_____/s/
Linda Fischer

SERVICE LIST
R.06-04-009

cadams@covantaenergy.com	atrial@sempra.com	lars@resource-solutions.org
steven.schleimer@barclayscapital.com	apak@sempraglobal.com	alho@pge.com
steven.huhman@morganstanley.com	dhecht@sempratrading.com	aweller@sel.com
rick_noger@praxair.com	daking@sempra.com	jchamberlin@strategicenergy.com
keith.mccrea@sablauw.com	svongdeuane@semprasolutions.com	beth@beth411.com
ajkatz@mwe.com	troberts@sempra.com	kerry.hattevik@mirant.com
ckrupka@mwe.com	liddell@energyattorney.com	kowalewskia@calpine.com
lisa.decker@constellation.com	marcie.milner@shell.com	wbooth@booth-law.com
cswollums@midamerican.com	rwinthrop@pilotpowergroup.com	hoerner@redefiningprogress.org
kevin.boudreaux@calpine.com	tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com	janill.richards@doj.ca.gov
trdill@westernhubs.com	lschavrien@semprautilities.com	cchen@ucsusa.org
ej_wright@oxy.com	GloriaB@anzaelectric.org	gmorris@emf.net
pseby@mckennalong.com	llund@commerceenergy.com	tomb@crossborderenergy.com
todil@mckennalong.com	thunt@cecmil.org	kjinnovation@earthlink.net
steve.koerner@elpaso.com	diane_fellman@fpl.com	bmcc@mccarthyaw.com
jenine.schenk@apses.com	jeanne.sole@sfgov.org	sberlin@mccarthyaw.com
jbw@slwplc.com	john.hughes@sce.com	Mike@alpinenaturalgas.com
kelly.barr@srpnet.com	llorenz@semprautilities.com	joyw@mid.org
rrtaylor@srpnet.com	marcel@turn.org	UHelman@caiso.com
smichel@westernresources.org	nsuetake@turn.org	jjensen@kirkwood.com
roger.montgomery@swgas.com	dil@cpuc.ca.gov	mary.lynch@constellation.com
Lorraine.Paskett@ladwp.com	fjs@cpuc.ca.gov	lrdevanna-rf@cleanenergysystems.com
ron.deaton@ladwp.com	achang@nrdc.org	abb@eslawfirm.com
snewsom@semprautilities.com	rsa@a-klaw.com	mclaughlin@braunlegal.com
dhuard@manatt.com	ek@a-klaw.com	glw@eslawfirm.com
curtis.kebler@gs.com	kgrenfell@nrdc.org	jluckhardt@downeybrand.com
dehling@klng.com	mpa@a-klaw.com	jdh@eslawfirm.com
gregory.koiser@constellation.com	sls@a-klaw.com	vwelch@environmentaldefense.org
npedersen@hanmor.com	bill.chen@constellation.com	www@eslawfirm.com
mmazur@3phasesRenewables.com	bkc7@pge.com	
vitaly.lee@aes.com	epoole@adplaw.com	westgas@aol.com
tiffany.rau@bp.com	agrimaldi@mckennalong.com	scohn@smud.org
klatt@energyattorney.com	bcragg@goodinmacbride.com	atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com
rhelgeson@scppa.org	jsqueri@gmssr.com	dansvec@hdo.net
douglass@energyattorney.com	jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com	notice@psrec.coop
pssed@adelphia.net	kbowen@winston.com	deb@a-klaw.com
akbar.jazayeri@sce.com	lcottle@winston.com	cynthia.schultz@pacificorp.com
annette.gilliam@sce.com	sbeatty@cwclaw.com	kyle.l.davis@pacificorp.com
cathy.karlstad@sce.com	vprabhakaran@goodinmacbride.com	ryan.flynn@pacificorp.com
Laura.Genao@sce.com	jkarp@winston.com	carter@ieta.org
rkmooore@gswater.com	jeffgray@dwt.com	jason.dubchak@niskags.com
dwood8@cox.net	cjw5@pge.com	bjones@mjbbradley.com
amsmith@sempra.com	ssmyers@att.net	

kcolburn@symbioticstrategies.com
rapcowart@aol.com
Kathryn.Wig@nrgenergy.com
sasteriadis@apx.com
george.hopley@barcap.com
ez@pointcarbon.com
burtraw@rff.org
vb@pointcarbon.com
kyle_boudreaux@fpl.com
andrew.bradford@constellation.com
gbarch@knowledgeinenergy.com
ralph.dennis@constellation.com
smindel@knowledgeinenergy.com
brabe@umich.edu
bpotts@foley.com
james.keating@bp.com
Cynthia.A.Fonner@constellation.com
jimross@r-c-s-inc.com
tcarlson@reliant.com
ghinners@reliant.com
zaiontj@bp.com
julie.martin@bp.com
fiji.george@el Paso.com
echiang@elementmarkets.com
fstern@summitblue.com
nenbar@energy-insights.com
nlenssen@energy-insights.com
bbaker@summitblue.com
william.tomlinson@el Paso.com
kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com
Sandra.ely@state.nm.us
bmcquown@reliant.com
dbrooks@nevp.com
anita.hart@swgas.com
randy.sable@swgas.com
bill.schrand@swgas.com
jj.prucnal@swgas.com
sandra.carolina@swgas.com
ckmitchell1@sbcglobal.net
chilen@sppc.com
emello@sppc.com
tdillard@sierrapacific.com
dsoyars@sppc.com
jgreco@caithnessenergy.com
fluchetti@ndep.nv.gov
leilani.johnson@ladwp.com
randy.howard@ladwp.com
Robert.Rozanski@ladwp.com

robert.pettinato@ladwp.com
HYao@SempraUtilities.com
rprince@semprautilities.com
rkeen@manatt.com
nwhang@manatt.com
pjazayeri@stroock.com
derek@climaterestry.org
david@nemtzw.com
harveyederpspc.org@hotmail.com
sendo@ci.pasadena.ca.us
slins@ci.glendale.ca.us
THAMILTON5@CHARTER.NET
bjeider@ci.burbank.ca.us
rmorillo@ci.burbank.ca.us
roger.pelote@williams.com
aimee.barnes@ecosecurities.com
case.admin@sce.com
tim.hemig@nrgenergy.com
bjl@bry.com
aldyn.hoekstra@paceglobal.com
ygross@sempraglobal.com
jlaun@apogee.net
kmkiener@fox.net
scottanders@sandiego.edu
jkloberdanz@semprautilities.com
andrew.mcallister@energycenter.org
jack.burke@energycenter.org
jennifer.porter@energycenter.org
sephra.ninow@energycenter.org
dniehaus@semprautilities.com
jleslie@luce.com
ofoote@hkcf-law.com
ekgrubaugh@iid.com

pepper@cleanpowermarkets.com
gsmith@adamsbroadwell.com
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
hayley@turn.org
mflorio@turn.org
Dan.adler@calcef.org
mhyams@sfwater.org
tburke@sfwater.org
norman.furuta@navy.mil
amber@ethree.com
annabelle.malins@fco.gov.uk
dwang@nrdc.org
filings@a-klaw.com
nes@a-klaw.com

obystrom@cera.com
sdhilton@stoel.com
scarter@nrdc.org
abonds@thelen.com
cbaskette@enernoc.com
colin.petheram@att.com
jwmctarnaghan@duanemorris.com
kfox@wsgr.com
kkhoja@thelenreid.com
pvallen@thelen.com
spauker@wsgr.com
rreinhard@mofo.com
cem@newsdata.com
hgolub@nixonpeabody.com
jscancarelli@flk.com
jwiedman@goodinmacbride.com
mmattes@nossaman.com
jen@cnt.org
lisa_weinzimer@platts.com
steven@moss.net
sellis@fypower.org
arno@recurrentenergy.com
ELL5@pge.com
gxl2@pge.com
jxa2@pge.com
JDF1@PGE.COM
RHHJ@pge.com
sscb@pge.com
svs6@pge.com
S1L7@pge.com
vjw3@pge.com
karla.dailey@cityofpaloalto.org
farrokh.albuyeh@oati.net
dtibbs@aes4u.com
jhahn@covantaenergy.com
andy.vanhorn@vhcenergy.com
Joe.paul@dynegy.com
info@calseia.org
gblue@enxco.com
sbeserra@sbcglobal.net
monica.schwebs@bingham.com
phanschen@mofo.com
josephhenri@hotmail.com
pthompson@summitblue.com
dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net
Betty.Seto@kema.com
JerryL@abag.ca.gov
jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net

steve@schiller.com
mrw@mrwassoc.com
rschmidt@bartlell.com
adamb@greenlining.org
stevek@kromer.com
clyde.murley@comcast.net
brenda.lemay@horizonwind.com
carla.peterman@gmail.com
elvine@lbl.gov
rhwisner@lbl.gov
C_Marnay@1b1.gov
philm@scdenenergy.com
rita@ritanortonconsulting.com
cpechman@powereconomics.com
emahlon@ecoact.org
richards@mid.org
rogerv@mid.org
tomk@mid.org
fwmonier@tid.org
brbarkovich@earthlink.net
johnrredding@earthlink.net
clark.bernier@rlw.com
rmccann@umich.edu
cmkehrein@ems-ca.com
e-recipient@caiso.com
grosenblum@caiso.com

rsmutny-jones@caiso.com
saeed.farrokhpai@ferc.gov
david@branchcomb.com
kenneth.swain@navigantconsulting.com
kdusel@navigantconsulting.com
gpickering@navigantconsulting.com
lpark@navigantconsulting.com
davidreynolds@ncpa.com
scott.tomashefsky@ncpa.com
ewolfe@resero.com
Audra.Hartmann@Dynergy.com
Bob.lucas@calobby.com
curt.barry@iwpnews.com
danskopec@gmail.com
dseperas@calpine.com
dave@ppallc.com
dkk@eslawfirm.com
wynne@braunlegal.com
kgough@calpine.com
kellie.smith@sen.ca.gov
kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com

mwaugh@arb.ca.gov
pbarthol@energy.state.ca.us
pstoner@lgc.org
rachel@ceert.org
bernardo@braunlegal.com
steven@lipmanconsulting.com
steven@iepa.com
wtasat@arb.ca.gov
etiedemann@kmtg.com
ltenhope@energy.state.ca.us
bushinskyj@pewclimate.org
lmh@eslawfirm.com
obartho@smud.org
bbbeebe@smud.org
bpurewal@water.ca.gov
dmacmill@water.ca.gov
kmills@cfbf.com
karen@klindh.com
ehadley@reupower.com
Denise_Hill@transalta.com
sas@a-klaw.com
egw@a-klaw.com
akelly@climatetrust.org
alan.comnes@nrgenergy.com
kyle.silon@ecosecurities.com
californiadockets@pacificorp.com
Philip.H.Carver@state.or.us
samuel.r.sadler@state.or.us
lisa.c.schwartz@state.or.us
cbreidenich@yahoo.com
dws@r-c-s-inc.com
jesus.arredondo@nrgenergy.com
charlie.blair@delta-ee.com
karen.mcdonald@powerex.com
clarence.binniger@doj.ca.gov
david.zonana@doj.ca.gov
agc@cpuc.ca.gov
aeg@cpuc.ca.gov
blm@cpuc.ca.gov
cf1@cpuc.ca.gov
cft@cpuc.ca.gov
tam@cpuc.ca.gov
dsh@cpuc.ca.gov
edm@cpuc.ca.gov
cpe@cpuc.ca.gov
hym@cpuc.ca.gov
hs1@cpuc.ca.gov
jm3@cpuc.ca.gov

jnm@cpuc.ca.gov
jbf@cpuc.ca.gov
jk1@cpuc.ca.gov
jst@cpuc.ca.gov
jtp@cpuc.ca.gov
jol@cpuc.ca.gov
jci@cpuc.ca.gov
jf2@cpuc.ca.gov
krd@cpuc.ca.gov
lrm@cpuc.ca.gov
ltt@cpuc.ca.gov
mjd@cpuc.ca.gov
ner@cpuc.ca.gov
pw1@cpuc.ca.gov
psp@cpuc.ca.gov
pzs@cpuc.ca.gov
rmm@cpuc.ca.gov
ram@cpuc.ca.gov
smk@cpuc.ca.gov
sgm@cpuc.ca.gov
svn@cpuc.ca.gov
scr@cpuc.ca.gov
tcx@cpuc.ca.gov
ken.alex@doj.ca.gov
ken.alex@doj.ca.gov
bdicapo@caiso.com
jsanders@caiso.com
jgill@caiso.com

ppettingill@caiso.com
mscheibl@arb.ca.gov
epowers@arb.ca.gov
jdoll@arb.ca.gov
pburmich@arb.ca.gov
bblevins@energy.state.ca.us
dmetz@energy.state.ca.us
deborah.slone@doj.ca.gov
dks@cpuc.ca.gov
kgriffin@energy.state.ca.us
ldecarlo@energy.state.ca.us
mprior@energy.state.ca.us
mgarcia@arb.ca.gov
pduvair@energy.state.ca.us
wsm@cpuc.ca.gov
hurlock@water.ca.gov
hchronin@water.ca.gov